3. Bohm D, Hiley BJ. The Undivided Universe: An Ontological Interpretation of Quantum Theory (London: Routledge, 1993); chapter 6.4 The meaning of the uncertainty principle p. 114-116

4. Bohm, D. (1990) Unfolding the Implicate Order, Excerpts from interview with David Bohm by Louwrien Wijers, 1989 in Art Meets Science and Spirituality in a Changing Economy, SDU publishers, Amsterdam

5. Bohm, D. (1990) Unfolding the Implicate Order, Excerpts from interview with David Bohm by Louwrien Wijers, 1989 in Art Meets Science and Spirituality in a Changing Economy, SDU publishers, Amsterdam

6. Pylkkänen P. Is there Room in Quantum Ontology for a Genuine Causal Role for Consciousness? In: The Palgrave Handbook of Quantum Models in Social Science [Internet]. Palgrave Macmillan UK; 2017. p. 293–317. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-49276-0_14

7. Tononi G, Boly M, Massimini M, Koch C. Integrated information theory: from consciousness to its physical substrate. Nat Rev Neurosci [Internet]. 2016 May 26;17(7):450–61. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.44

8. Illobrand Von Ludwiger, T. Auerbach, Heim's Theory of Elementary Particle Structures, Journal of Scientific Exploration. Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 217-231, 1992 0892-33 10192 O 1992 Society for Scientific Exploration

9. Marcus Schmieke, Orthogonal Complementarity, Tattva Viveka 2019, www.acadedemia.edu.

10. Unger RM, Smolin L. The Singular Universe and the Reality of Time [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139696487

11. Bohm, D. (2003) Soma-significance and the Activity of Meaning. In The Essential David Bohm; L. Nichol Ed., London: Routledge; pp. 158-182.

12. Gotthard Günther (1976), Cybernetic Ontology Operations, Beiträge zur Grundlegung einer operationsfähigen Dialektik, Erster Band, Felix Meiner Verlag

13. Schmieke, M. (2021). Bohm's Quantum Potential Approach to Consciousness from the Perspective of a Four-Valued Logic. Dev Sanskriti Interdisciplinary International Journal, 17, 01. https://doi.org/10.36018/dsiij.v17i.206

14. Misra B, Sudarshan ECG. The Zeno's paradox in quantum theory. Journal of Mathematical Physics [Internet]. 1977 Apr;18(4):756–63. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.523304

15. Stapp, H.P. (2000a) "Decoherence, quantum Zeno effect, and the efficacy of mentaleffort."quant-ph/0003065

16. Smolin, Lee (2012), Precedence and freedom in quantum physics, Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, arXiv:arXiv:1205.3707

17. Georgiev D. Mind Efforts, Quantum Zeno Effect and Environmental Decoherence. Neuroquantology [Internet]. 2012 Sep 30;10(3). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.14704/nq.2012.10.3.552

18. de Gosson, Maurice,Hiley, Basil (2010), Zeno Paradox for Bohmian Trajectories: The Unfolding of the Metatron, arXiv:1010.2622

19. de Gosson MA, Hiley B. The Principles of Newtonian and Quantum Mechanics [Internet]. PUBLISHED BY IMPERIAL COLLEGE PRESS AND DISTRIBUTED BY WORLD SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING CO.; 2001. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/p235

20. Atmanspacher H. Psychophysical correlations, synchronicity and meaning. J Anal Psychol [Internet]. 2014 Mar 27;59(2):181–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1468-5922.12068

21. Günther G. Beiträge zur Grundlegung einer operationsfähigen Dialektik II [Internet]. Felix Meiner Verlag; 1979. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.28937/978-3-7873-2555-9

22. Illobrand Von Ludwiger, T. Auerbach, (1992) Heim's Theory of Elementary Particle Structures, Journal of Scientific Exploration. Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 217-231

23. Schmieke, M. (2021). Quantum-Entangled Frequencies and Coherence in Bioenergetic Systems, Dev Sanskriti Interdisciplinary International Journal, 18. https://doi.org/10.36018/dsiij.v18i.226